

An open letter to 121 academic staff at Brunel University School of Engineering and Design

13 December 2013

A taxpayer-funded assault on male engineering graduates at Brunel University

I lead the only British political party which campaigns for the human rights of men and boys, which for 30+ years have been assaulted by the state, through its actions and inactions. British men collectively pay 72% of the income taxes which largely finance the state, and women just 28%.¹ Our public consultation document² is the precursor of our 2015 general election manifesto, and has proposals in 20 areas where the interests of men and boys are assaulted by the state.

It's perfectly well understood why women are less keen than men to enter the field of engineering, while at the same time they're keen to enter other STEMM fields, notably medicine. Over 70% of medical students today are women, but there are no government initiatives seeking to increase the proportion of medical students who are men. For many years the state has tried – through initiatives funded by long-suffering taxpayers – to encourage more women to study STEMM subjects at a senior level, with mixed results. The initiatives have been particularly unsuccessful with respect to engineering.

And so we come to the forthcoming scandal of female postgraduate engineering students at **Brunel University** receiving *additional* grants of £15,000 p.a. – considerably more than the gross income of a person working full-time on the minimum wage – *solely on the basis of their gender*. In the past few days we've posted on our website two pieces relating to the matter.^{3,4} The second piece relates to a Freedom of Information Act request we've made, which is essentially:

If male postgraduate engineering students have gender reassignment surgery, will they become entitled to the £15,000 grant?

I should be happy to give a talk at Brunel University about why the relentless advantaging of women – and the consequent disadvantaging of men – in education, the workplace, and in other regards, is damaging to British society in general, and to men and boys in particular. An example. Four out of seven unemployed people in the UK are men, yet taxpayer-funded initiatives to increase employment levels are invariably focused on women alone. It's known that unemployment is a bigger driver of suicide among men than among women, and for every woman who commits suicide in the UK, three men do. Suicide is the biggest single killer of young men, and a major killer of disadvantaged men in mid-life.

A number of engineering professional bodies, despite having male-dominated memberships, are actively driving the preferencing of women over men. Our public challenge⁵ of Nick Baveystock, Director General of the **Institution of Civil Engineers**, remains unanswered to this day.

The state's assaults on the interest of men extends even to scientific research. We recently posted a piece⁶ on the largely taxpayer-funded **Athena SWAN** initiative, which is designed to advantage women over men with respect to conducting research in STEMM subjects. The initiative is an assault on yet another area where meritocracy should surely reign supreme. The consequences for British science and the wider economy could be grave, and male researchers will obviously be disadvantaged by this ideologically-motivated social engineering exercise.

We present **Toady** awards to men to men in positions of power and influence, who use that power to drive and support gender feminist agendas. The state's support of those agendas has been even stronger under the Conservative-led coalition than under the Labour governments of 1997-2010 in which the most influential gender feminist MP of her generation, Harriet Harman, was at her most influential. **David Cameron** was **Toady of the Year (2012)** and his government continues relentlessly to pursue anti-male policy directions. Inspired by the taxpayer-funded preferencing of female students at Brunel, we've just awarded him **Toady of the Year (2013)** too. His award certificate is on the final page of this document.

I repeat my offer to make a presentation at Brunel University. My contact details are at the top of the first page.

A copy of this letter has been posted on our website <http://j4mb.org.uk>.

Yours sincerely,

Mike Buchanan
PARTY LEADER

References

¹ <http://www.avoiceformen.com/feminism/government-tyranny/he-who-pays-the-piper-calls-the-tune-or-does-he/>

² <http://j4mb.wordpress.com/our-public-consultation-exercise-2/>

³ <http://j4mb.wordpress.com/2013/12/10/taxpayer-to-give-extra-1250-per-month-to-engineering-graduates-but-only-if-they-have-vaginas/>

⁴ <http://j4mb.wordpress.com/2013/12/11/problem-you-dont-have-a-vagina-but-youd-still-like-the-additional-15000-p-a-income-that-having-a-vagina-would-give-you-what-to-do>

⁵ <http://j4mb.wordpress.com/2013/09/04/our-public-challenge-to-nick-baveystock-director-general-of-the-institution-of-civil-engineers/>

⁶ <http://j4mb.wordpress.com/2013/11/05/the-athena-swan-initiative-an-assault-on-male-scientists/>

Toady of the Year (2013)

David Cameron



Toady *n* a sycophant, an obsequious flatterer; *vt* and *vi* to fawn on and flatter like a sycophant

Justice for men & boys (and the women who love them) presents the Toady of the Year (2013) award to David Cameron, in recognition of his continuing enthusiastic pursuit of gender feminist agendas, regardless of the costs, financial and otherwise. Even when Harriet Harman MP was at her most influential – in the Labour administrations of 1997-2010 – it would have been *unthinkable* for students to receive additional grants of £15,000 p.a. solely on the grounds of being female. With Dave in charge, we have scandals like this:

<http://j4mb.wordpress.com/2013/12/10/taxpayer-to-give-extra-1250-per-month-to-engineering-graduates-but-only-if-they-have-vaginas/>

Email: mike@j4mb.org.uk

Blog: <http://j4mb.org.uk>

Mob: 07967 026163

Web: <http://lpspublishing.co.uk>