

①

RECEIVED
02 MAR 2015

Dr Maggie Atkinson
Children's Commissioner for England
The Office of the Children's Commissioner
Sanctuary Buildings
20 Great Smith Street
London SW1P 3BT

24 February 2015

Dear Dr Atkinson

Genital mutilation of children

The Government recently announced that it intended to introduce mandatory reporting of FGM for victims under 18. I have no quarrel with this, but it does leave the situation of young boys who have been circumcised for non-medical reasons completely ignored.

In June 2012, the Regional Court in Cologne ruled that circumcising young boys causes grievous bodily harm, and that "the fundamental right of the child to bodily integrity outweighed the fundamental rights of the parents".

More recently (14 January 2015), in the UK High Court, Sir James Munby, President of the Family Division, in a particular case, chose to highlight the inconsistencies in the law and the sexist double standard that applied in the circumcision of young children, particularly as he considered that male circumcision can be worse than some milder forms of Type IV FGM.

There is increasing support for considering the circumcision of young boys to be a form of child abuse, which at present the law does not specifically protect in any way.

My understanding is that most healthcare professionals maintain that the potential benefits are not strong enough to justify routine childhood circumcision. Critics of male circumcision argue that it also has disadvantages, such as:

- Reduced sensitivity
- Potential complications, such as excessive bleeding, post-operative infection, and in rare cases injury to the urethra. Such complications are thought to outweigh any potential benefits.

I am, of course, aware of the religious background to such practice. But Government has felt strongly enough in other areas, such as women bishops, to intervene even in religious practices and beliefs.

I would hope therefore that you, as the Children's Commissioner with a responsibility to the interests of both girls and boys, will react sympathetically to Lord James Munby's effective criticism of the current law that treats even mild forms of FGM as criminal but completely ignores the circumcision of boys which is, or can be, even worse.

I should be grateful to have your views on the issue, and whether you are prepared, now that a senior High Court judge has intervened, to take it up formally as Children's Commissioner.

Yours sincerely

From: Lyn.FOSTER@childrenscommissioner.gsi.gov.uk
Received: 27/03/2015 13:20:01
Subject: OCC: 201400176
To: "
Date Sent: 27/03/2015 01:20:01

Dea

Many thanks for your letter which we received on the 2nd March raising the issue of male circumcision and children's rights. We are aware of the Family Court judgment that you mention and also of comparative developments in other jurisdictions regarding this. As you know, OCC is a small organisation with a broad remit to promote and protect the rights of all children (and some young adults) in England and, for non-devolved issues, the whole of the UK. As such we have to take a strategic approach to the children's rights issues that we work on and as yet, we have not undertaken any specific work on male circumcision. We are, however, grateful to you for writing to the Children's Commissioner to highlight this issue and will continue to keep it under review.

Yours sincerely,

Lyn

Lyn Foster
Higher Executive Officer
Office of the Children's Commissioner
Sanctuary Buildings
Great Smith Street
London SW1P 3BT
Direct Line: 020 7783 8203
Telephone: 0207 783 8330

Advice and Assistance free phone for children and young people receiving social care services, in or leaving care, or living away from home: 0800 528 0731

Email:
lyn.foster@childrenscommissioner.gsi.gov.uk
Web:
www.childrenscommissioner.gov.uk

<https://www.facebook.com/officeofthechildrenscommissioner>

Please think of the environment before you print this email
P

②

From: Info.REQUEST@childrenscommissioner.gsi.gov.uk
 Received: 27/01/2014 10:47:43
 Subject: RE: FAO Maggie Atkinson - Subject: Involuntary Male Circumcision
 To: ""
 Date Sent: 27/01/2014 10:47:43

Dear [REDACTED]

Further to your email dated 25th January I can inform you your correspondence was brought to the attention of the Deputy Chief Executive, Ross Hendry and my response was approved by him.

Yours sincerely,

Lyn

Lyn Foster
 Higher Executive Officer
 Office of the Children's Commissioner
 33 Greycoat Street
 London
 SW1 2QF
 Tel: 020 7783 8203
 Main reception tel: 020 7783 8330
 Email:
 lyn.foster@childrenscommissioner.gsi.gov.uk
 Web:
 www.childrenscommissioner.gov.uk
 Please think of the environment before you print this email
 P

From: [REDACTED]
 Sent: 25 January 2014 13:57
 To: REQUEST, Info
 Subject: Re: FAO Maggie Atkinson - Subject: Involuntary Male Circumcision

Dear Lyn,

Thank you for your response, may I ask who read it if anyone other than yourself? Putting aside any campaigning or action could you please consider the idea of having a policy on the topic? It's just plain wrong people can go up to a healthy human being and cut part of their body off without their permission, and this can be at any age up to early teens which for the boys who do not want this to happen to them, is a very emotionally traumatic experience!
 Kind regards,

On 2 January 2014 17:05, wrote:

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for taking the time to write to the Children's Commissioner for England regarding your campaign letter against the practice of childhood male circumcision which we read with interest.

We are tasked under law with promoting the views and interests of all children in England and in particular, those whose voices are least likely to be heard, to the people who make decisions about their lives. We have a comparatively small team of staff and budget – around 20p per child in England – to do this, so through necessity, we prioritise the areas on which our work will focus.

Male circumcision is not an issue which we have prioritised, nor committed resources to gathering children's views about at the present time, so it is not something we are currently seeking to comment on.

In addition, the Office of the Children's Commissioner is rarely able to endorse third party campaigns, projects or proposals. This

is because in order to fulfil our statutory remit and advocate effectively on behalf of children, we must maintain a degree of independence from other organisations and individuals so that we can challenge them with regard to their treatment of children.

Thank you once again for bringing this issue to our attention and please accept our very best wishes.

Kind regards,

Lyn

Lyn Foster
Higher Executive Officer

Office of the Children's Commissioner
33 Greycoat Street
London
SW1 2QF

020 7783 8203
Tel: 020 7783 8330
Email:
lyn.foster@childrenscommissioner.gsi.gov.uk
Web:
www.childrenscommissioner.gov.uk

Please think of the environment before you print this email
P

From:

Sent: 28 December 2013 08:54
To: REQUEST, Info
Cc: theteam.rights4me@ofsted.gov.uk
Subject: FAO Maggie Atkinson - Subject: Involuntary Male Circumcision

Dear Dr Atkinson,

I read with interest the following in todays news:
"Smacking Children Should Be Banned, Says Tsar"
"Maggie Atkinson told The Independent it was her personal view that the law gives pets and adults more rights to be protected from violence than children."
<http://news.sky.com/story/1187692/smacking-children-should-be-banned-says-tsar>

With regards to your comments on smacking, please would you take two minutes to read my attached campaign letter against the barbaric practice of childhood male circumcision, which is clearly far more abusive to a child than a smack and yet is clearly illegal if done to pets, girls or adults against their will!

I would appreciate your views and if you can add publicity to this cause please feel free to do so!

Kind regards,

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.
Communications via the GSI may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service

supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.
Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.
Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

Dear Sir or Madam,

I've known of the practice of female circumcision for many years and I feel disgust at this gross mutilation performed on females of all ages. All genital mutilation of females is illegal here in the UK, however, the law completely overlooks the male child which is both sexist and permits a human rights violation.

The simplest form of female circumcision involves a pinprick of the clitoris or the removal of the clitoral hood, however male circumcision causes far more damage than this because the male foreskin holds nearly 100% of the most sensitive nerve endings and about 80% of all sexual nerve endings. Putting any complications aside, the removal of the clitoris, which is the most prevalent form of female circumcision, removes significantly less sexual nerve endings than male circumcision! The foreskin is also a very important part of the penis since it allows easy movement of the skin for masturbation and this makes sex easier for both partners.

The British Journal of Urology has recently conducted the following study:

"A sensitivity study of the adult penis in circumcised and uncircumcised men shows that the uncircumcised penis is significantly more sensitive. The most sensitive location on the circumcised penis is the circumcision scar on the ventral surface. Five locations on the uncircumcised penis that are routinely removed at circumcision are significantly more sensitive than the most sensitive location on the circumcised penis.

In addition, the glans (head) of the circumcised penis is less sensitive to fine touch than the glans of the uncircumcised penis. The tip of the foreskin is the most sensitive region of the uncircumcised penis, and it is significantly more sensitive than the most sensitive area of the circumcised penis. Circumcision removes the most sensitive parts of the penis. "

Sorrells, M. et al., "Fine-Touch Pressure Thresholds in the Adult Penis," BJU International 99 (2007): 864-869.

<http://www.circumcision.org/studies.htm>

Circumcision is well documented scientifically as a serious source of pain to a baby/child, babies are reported as crying very differently when they are being circumcised than when they are wanting for milk or usual physical harm. They can also go into neurological shock and this is often mistaken for sleeping, chemical analysis of the blood of babies being circumcised show that hormones that deal with pain become present in raised levels.

"Circumcision pain is described in this research study by Howard Stang and his colleagues from the Department of Pediatrics, Group Health Inc., and the University of Minnesota Institute of Child Development: "There is no doubt that circumcisions are painful for the baby. Indeed, circumcision has become a model for the analysis of pain and stress responses in the newborn." They report that the infant will "cry vigorously, tremble, and in some cases become mildly cyanotic [having blueness or lividness of the skin, caused by a deficiency of oxygen] because of prolonged crying."

Stang, H. et al., "Local Anesthesia for Neonatal Circumcision," Journal of the American Medical Association 259 (1988): 1510.

<http://www.circumcision.org/response.htm>

The Royal Dutch Medical Association are trying to ban it, they recently published the following statement:

"The official viewpoint of KNMG and other related medical/scientific organisations is that non-therapeutic circumcision of male minors is a violation of children's rights to autonomy and physical integrity. Contrary to popular belief, circumcision can cause complications – bleeding, infection, urethral stricture and panic attacks are particularly common. KNMG is therefore urging a strong

policy of deterrence. KNMG is calling upon doctors to actively and insistently inform parents who are considering the procedure of the absence of medical benefits and the danger of complications."

"The reason for our adoption of an official viewpoint regarding this matter is the increasing emphasis on children's rights. It is particularly relevant for doctors that children must not be subjected to medical proceedings that have no therapeutic or preventative value. In addition to this, there is growing concern regarding complications, both minor and serious, which can occur as a result of circumcising a child. A third reason for this viewpoint is the growing sentiment that there is a discrepancy between the KNMG's firm stance with regard to female genital mutilation and the lack of a stance with regard to the non-therapeutic circumcision of male minors, as the two have a number of similarities."

<http://knmg.artsennet.nl/Diensten/knmgpublicaties/KNMGpublicatie/Nontherapeutic-circumcision-of-male-minors-2010.htm>

Even modern Jews and some Muslims are starting to give it up! There are even religious people who consider it is racist to NOT try to protect boys from unnecessary surgery because of their race.

"Most Jewish parents do not recognize that circumcision is a choice. Since open communication about circumcision is discouraged, there is virtually no awareness of others who feel similar conflicts and doubts around circumcision. Moreover, if a Jewish parent does decide not to circumcise a male child, it is not generally known to the rest of the community. As a result, many parents submit to the pressure and then discover only too late, perhaps after witnessing the circumcision of their son, that they wish they had chosen differently. Some parents report that if they could take back one decision, it would be their son's circumcision."

<http://www.jewishcircumcision.org/>

Muslims against circumcision note repeatedly the Quran doesn't mention once circumcision!

"Since circumcision has no basis in the laws of GOD, then (as with all matters in life) it is left upto the individual to decide what is best for his/her child with no fear of 'retribution' for deciding not to do so"

<http://www.free-minds.org/circumcision>

<http://www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=118173552852> "Circumcision in Islam"

(Open group, membership of Facebook is not required to read the posts)

<http://www.quranicpath.com/misconceptions/circumcision.html>

A group in America are trying to change the law there where circumcision is practised routinely on about 32% of male infants in 2009 (even though female circumcision is illegal there too).

<http://mgmbill.org/statistics.htm>

<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/jt200809/jtselect/jtrights/157/157we19.htm>

You may have seen some reports in the news about the use of male circumcision to reduce the spread of HIV in some third world countries. The research is so heavily flawed it is astonishing the W.H.O. promotes it and the amount of information that debunks this as a useful way of for reducing the spread of aids is so large, I cannot reproduce it in this introductory letter, but if you want to read information you may do so on the links below, I would urge you to do so which will take just a few minutes to realise it is nonsensical approach to combat HIV infection rates so in future when someone mentions it you can have an educated opinion. "The United States has the highest medicalized infant circumcision rate in the industrialized world and the highest rate of HIV infection. The HIV infection rate in the United States is twice the rate in Europe, where the vast majority of males are intact."

www.nocirc.org/publish/11-HIV.pdf

Even if circumcised, men should still wear condoms. Condoms, monogamous relationships and sex education has always been the best approach to reducing the spread of STD's, not circumcision, also, telling poorly-educated people in Africa they are less at risk of catching the HIV virus has resulted in

15% of a population in South Africa believing that circumcised men do not need condoms to protect from HIV which now may promote risky sex and have an adverse affect on the infection rates!

http://www.info.gov.za/issues/hiv/survey_2009.htm

<http://www.doctorsopposingcircumcision.org/info/HIVStatement.html>

<http://www.circumstitions.com/HIV-SA.html>

Many men have said circumcision has ruined their life and not just their sex life, some men take so long to achieve orgasm with so much sexual tissue removed that they cannot enjoy sex. It affects both partners of a relationship. Some have had the entire glans (the head) cut off, badly deformed penises and out of shape erections and sometimes, loss of the entire penis and owing to the importance of sex to men these issues cause *deep* pain and anger in their lives.

http://www.norm-uk.org/circumcision_unhappy.html

In the USA 117 children are killed yearly owing to infections caused by this unnecessary operation which leaves the open wound, in a nappy with urine and faeces seeping into it. Here in the UK, circumcision related deaths also occur, owing to severe blood loss, anaesthesia, shock, and septicaemia. All deaths of which are reported as such and not detailed as a death due to circumcision, PURELY because the medical body doesn't want to upset the religious community. Because infant circumcision is elective, all of these life destroying problems are avoidable.

<http://www.circumstitions.com/death.html>

There have been many political figures worldwide to speak out against it including Katy Swaine, legal director of Child Rights Alliance for England, who told the Mirror: "The UN Committee on the Rights of the Child has made clear that female genital mutilation violates children's rights and this position has been reflected in the banning of such procedures under UK legislation."

"The carrying out of circumcision procedures on young male children must also be examined in the context of childrens rights under the treaty - not least given the requirement for nondiscrimination in the application of treaty rights."

"A body of medical opinion has for some time supported the view that most male circumcision procedures do not have a medical basis. As such, given the invasiveness of the procedure and the negative consequences suffered by some individuals, there is a strong argument that it should not be carried out without informed consent from the individual who is to undergo the procedure."

<http://www.mirror.co.uk/news/top-stories/2009/11/17/snip-op-illegal-115875-21828059/>

To conclude, I would expect with the above information you can agree that the sexist ignorance towards male genital mutilation should end and that it should not be performed on non-consenting children for the reason of human rights abuse and the damage that it causes. If somebody wants to get themselves circumcised, they should go for it when they have INFORMED CONSENT, are EIGHTEEN and are in a position to be FREE from their family pressure and coercion. This should not be a mans FIRST sexual experience in the world! I look forward to hearing your thoughts!

Yours sincerely,

③

From: Info.REQUEST@childrenscommissioner.gsi.gov.uk
 Received: 21/08/2012 09:21:45
 Subject: FW: circumcision
 To: "" (Ross.HENDRY@childrenscommissioner.gsi.gov.uk)
 Date Sent: 21/08/2012 09:21:45

Hi Ross

Please see email response below

Lyn

From
 [mailto:
 Sent: 21 August 2012 07:10
 To: REQUEST, Info
 Subject: RE: circumcision

Dear Lynne,

Thank you for your reply and I do not doubt your full commitment to changing children's lives for the better. To have a Children's Commissioner is a wonderful resource that I appreciate enormously. I appreciate too, that circumcision is a challenging issue and policy change may be a difficult process as lobby groups may defend the practice robustly. However, as a number of countries in Europe are making progress on changing public opinion through prosecutions, and law changes, I feel that it should have become a priority in your business planning process when it appears that it has not done so.

I understand from your reply that you have limited resources, but, where there is a clear need to act for the safety of children in particular, I feel that efforts to raise further resources would be a more appropriate action, rather than precluding the Commissioner's office from any action at all. I wonder whether you have heard recent discussions on Woman's Hour for instance on Radio 4, that discussed how England is becoming an EU destination for young children, girls in particular, to be circumcised - operations which are more commonly referred to as female genital mutilation - as we appear not to uphold the law?

In most business planning processes, priorities and actions are decided upon, and then resources are sought. It seems the Commissioner's office has looked at resources first.

In that regard, I am interested to know:

how the issue became an area that the Commissioner would not include as a priority in its plan for the year, whether it was raised at all in planning, and if so, how it was discarded, whether you have approached the Ministry that funds the Commissioner, to secure further funds for the development of policy in this area in the future, at a time when it seems that the GB law courts and prosecution services are progressively developing an unwritten policy of inaction, compared to other European countries, while it appears that young girls are mutilated in our capital city, and if you have carried out an audit of your Business planning process, and policy makers involved in this inaction, to discover whether they have vested interests that prevent them from making progress for the children who will inevitably suffer as a result of the inaction by yourselves and the court system; and whether your inability to include it in your business plan may be the influence of those silent vested interests, just as they appear to be in the UK inability to prosecute perpetrators? Men who have been

circumcised, for instance, may find it difficult to perceive circumcision as abuse (since their own parents may be seen as the part of that abusive process) and hence may 'lower' its priority at all levels of the debate. It would be important to have knowledge of this understandable resistance, but mitigate its effects.

I look forward to your reply

Best wishes

... book GOLDEN BOY is due out in May 2013

From: Info.REQUEST@childrenscommissioner.gsi.gov.uk

To:

Subject: RE: circumcision

Date: Mon, 20 Aug 2012 13:58:52 +0000

Dear

Thank you for taking the time to write to the Children's Commissioner for England in which you raise the issue of circumcision for religious and hygiene purposes on babies and small children.

We appreciate the seriousness of the issues you have raised. However our business plan and programme of work is exceedingly ambitious and as a result we are unable to find appropriate capacity and resources to undertake work concerning the particular issues arising from the concerns you raised. I have provided for your information a link to our Business plan for 2012-13 and hope you will see from this that we are extremely committed to other issues relating to children and young people's rights.

For your information we will record this correspondence and if we receive other similar correspondence we will look for ways to raise the issues involved with the relevant authorities.

Thank you once again for contacting the office of the Children's Commissioner

Yours sincerely

Lyn
Foster
Higher Executive Officer

Office of the Children's Commissioner
33 Greycoat Street
London
SW1 2QF

020 7783 8203
Tel: 020 7783 8330
Fax: 020 7931 7544

Email:
lynn.foster@childrenscommissioner.gsi.gov.uk
Web:
www.childrenscommissioner.gov.uk

Please think of the environment before you print this email
P

From [redacted]
[mailto:[redacted]]
Sent: 23 July 2012 07:35
To: REQUEST, Info
Subject: circumcision

Dear Maggie,

I am writing concerning circumcision for religious purposes, and hygiene purposes, on babies and small children of both sexes.

Your stated aims include: 'Children and young people will be actively involved in shaping all decisions that affect their lives, are supported to achieve their full potential through the provision of appropriate services, and will live in homes and communities where their rights are respected and they are loved, safe and enjoy life.'

As babies cannot give a personal opinion on whether they would like to be mutilated in this way at the behest of their parents, I am writing to find out what work the Commissioner has considered in this policy area to move towards a society that opposes these lifelong choices being made for children within days of their birth.

In my view, the procedure amounts to 'assault' on a child, when carried out on religious or hygiene grounds, and I wondered whether you are considering a test case to clarify the confusion in law?

In a recent legal decision in the German Courts, the Cologne court announced on June 26, that circumcising young boys on religious grounds amounts to bodily harm even if parents consent to the procedure. In Germany, the bodily harm charge is punishable by anything from a fine to up to five years in prison.

With best wishes
Pat

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Cable&Wireless Worldwide in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Cable&Wireless Worldwide in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Cable&Wireless Worldwide in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

(4)

From: Info.REQUEST@childrenscommissioner.gsi.gov.uk
Received: 28/02/2012 16:08:19
Subject: RE: request from Dutch Children's Ombudsman
To: ""
Date Sent: 28/02/2012 04:08:19

Dear

Thank you for your recent email in which you seek the views of the Office of the Children's Commissioner regarding the subject of male circumcision.

We recognise that this is an issue that has resulted in a very heated debate. However we have not done any work in this area and we are not aware that any of the other UK Children's Commissioners have done so either.

You may find it helpful to contact Polina Atanasova, ENOC Secretariat, Council of Europe, e-mail: secretariat@ombudsnet.org who may be able to assist you further.

Kind regards

Lyn

Lyn Foster
Higher Executive Officer

Office of the Children's Commissioner
33 Greycoat Street
London
SW1 2QF

020 7783 8203
Tel: 020
7783 8330
Fax: 020 7931
7544

Email: lynn.foster@childrenscommissioner.gsi.gov.uk
Web: www.childrenscommissioner.gov.uk

Please think of the environment before you print this email P

From:

Sent: 03 February 2012 10:51
To: REQUEST, Info
Cc:
Subject: request from Dutch
Children's Ombudsman

Dear Mrs Atkinson,

First, allow me to introduce myself. I am a researcher and advisor to

the Dutch Children's ombudsman.

The Dutch Children's Ombudsman has only been appointed in April 2011, so for many subjects, we are still in the phase of exploring .

We would like to ask your assistance in the following. Any information you can give us will be greatly appreciated.

Currently we are doing research into the subject of male circumcision. Should this practice be forbidden on minors, or discouraged or left alone? This is a subject for heated debates around the world. Since we have to look at the subject from the point of view of the International Convention on the Rights of the Child, we would highly appreciate your expert opinion on this. We haven't been able to find anything on the subject on your website, but maybe you can inform us on your opinion or refer us to useful links.

Thank you very much in advance.

Yours sincerely,

De Kinderombudsman
Postbus 93122
2509 AC Den Haag
Telefoon +31 70 356 35 63
Fax +31 70 360 75 72

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Cable&Wireless Worldwide in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

5

From: Childrens.COMMISSIONER@childrenscommissioner.gsi.gov.uk
Received: 08/08/2011 14:48:26
Subject: RE: Genital Autonomy
To: ""
Date Sent: 08/08/2011 02:48:26

Dear [redacted]

Thank you for the invitation to Dr Atkinson to attend the genital Autonomy conference at Keele University on 31st August and 1st September.

We regret that owing to diary commitments Dr Atkinson is unable to attend. We realise this will be disappointing news but we receive many invitations and regrettably can only accept a small number of these.

Dr Atkinson has asked me to convey her best wishes for a most interesting and successful event.

With warm regards

Lyn

Lyn Foster

Senior Information Officer

Office of the Children's Commissioner
33 Greycoat Street
London
SW1 2QF

020 7783 8203
Tel: 020 7783 8330
Fax: 020 7931 7544

Email: lynn.foster@childrenscommissioner.gsi.gov.uk
Web: www.childrenscommissioner.gov.uk

Please think of the environment before you print this email P

From: [redacted]

Sent: 02 August 2011 11:58
To: COMMISSIONER, Childrens
Subject: Genital Autonomy

Dear Commissioner,

I

thought you might be interested to hear about a fairly new charity called Genital Autonomy www.genitalautonomy.eu.

The remit of Genital Autonomy (GA) is to protect male, female and intersex children from unnecessary genital surgery. One of our Patrons is Dr Comfort Momoh who I am sure you know is a campaigner against FGM. Our other Patron is Paul Mason who until recently was The Commissioner for Children in Tasmania.

You

may be aware of the recent publicity surrounding the issues of non-therapeutic male circumcision and I know that the Safeguarding Children Boards have been trying to develop policy on this issue. There has been a recent debate on this issue on Radio 4, Broadcasting House programme.

GA,

in conjunction with Keele University School of Law, will be holding a two day conference at Keele University on 31st August and 1st September. This will deal with the legal and human rights issues surrounding unnecessary genital surgery on male, female and intersex children. The details are on the following link: <http://www.genitalautonomy.eu/#/ga-conference-2011/4552998052>.

We

have an impressive selection of speakers including Efua Dorkenoo who will be talking about female genital mutilation and human rights and Gert Van Dijk who has drafted the position statement on male circumcision for The Royal Dutch Medical Association. Paul Mason, who until recently was the Commissioner for Children from Tasmania will be a keynote presenter at the conference.

The

conference will have breakout sessions where professionals dealing with these issues will be able to talk about policy development. I am updating the programme at present but the information I have posted below will give you an idea of the sessions and running order.

I am

happy to answer any further questions and I hope you will be able to attend and promote this event for us.

Kind
Regards,

Chief
Officer.

Genital Autonomy
2011.
Law, Human Rights,
and Non-Therapeutics Interventions on Children

Programme

Day One: 31st August
10.30 – 11.00 Coffee and Registration

11.00 – 12.45 1. Genital Autonomy and Human Rights

Chair: Marie Fox
Welcome: Richard Dunker (Chair of Genital Autonomy)
Debra L DeLaet
Genital autonomy
and children's rights in international law

Travis Wisdom
The right to

health: towards a feminist understanding of genital autonomy

12.45 – 2.00 Lunch

2.00 – 3.30 2. Medical Practice I

Chair: Michael Thomson

Gert Van Dijk

Between religious

freedom and the right to bodily integrity: the case against circumcision of male minors

Antony Lempert

Non-therapeutic

circumcision and conscientious objection

3.30 – 4.00 Coffee

4.00 – 5.30 3. Health Law and Genital Autonomy – Two Paradigms

Chair: Debra L DeLaet

Michael Thomson

Public health law
and social justice

Marie Fox

Health law and
bodily integrity

5.30 – 6.30 Drinks reception

7.30 Conference dinner

Day 2: 1st September

9.30 – 11.30 4. Female Genital Cutting

Chair: David Smith

Dr Comfort Momoh

Title TBC

Efua Dorkenoo

FGM and human
rights

John Geisiker

The Seattle Plan

11.30 – 12.00 Coffee

12.30 – 1.30 5. Intersex

Chair:

Michael Thomson

Steven Svoboda

'Justice and

peace': protecting all from genital cutting – law, human rights and demedicalization'

Sarah Graham

A letter to my body

1.30 - 2.30 Lunch

2.30 – 4.00 6. Medical Practice II

Matthew Johnson

Dealing with

invasive religious rights: should the NHS offer circumcision to diminish harm

Lauren M. Sardi

Institutionalized practices

and neonatal circumcision: the informed consent process

This email was received from the INTERNET and scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Cable&Wireless Worldwide in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisation's IT Helpdesk. Communications via the GSI may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.